



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,243	08/30/2001	Rolland F. Hebert		9377
29133	7590	02/08/2007	EXAMINER	
ROLLAND HEBERT 427 BELLEVUE AVE E. SUITE 301 SEATTLE, WA 98102			LEWIS, PATRICK T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/943,243	HEBERT, ROLLAND F.	
	Examiner Patrick T. Lewis	Art Unit 1623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2004.
2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-7) during a telephone conversation on March 11, 2003, is acknowledged.

Applicant's Response Dated June 24, 2003; September 2, 2003; February 19, 2004

2. Claims 1-6 are pending. An action on the merits of claims 1-6 is contained herein below.
3. The objections to the specification have been rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments filed on February 19, 2004.
4. The objections to claims 4 and 5 are maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed on March 19, 2003.
5. The objection to claim 6 has been rendered moot in view of applicant's amendment filed on February 19, 2004.
6. The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed on March 19, 2003.
7. The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunne et al. British journal of Pharmacology (1998), Vol. 125, pages 225-233 (Dunne) is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed on March 19, 2003.

8. The rejection of claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunne in view of Matos et al. Bioorganic Chemistry (1987), Vol. 15, pages 71-80 (Matos) is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed on March 19, 2003.

Rejections of Record Set Forth in the Office Action Dated June 25, 2004

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

10. Claims 4-5 objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4 does not end in a period and claim 5 recites "chloride" twice. Appropriate correction is required.

No arguments have been set forth by applicants.

11. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

No arguments have been set forth by applicants.

12. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunne.

Applicant's arguments filed June 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the instantly claimed composition has unique properties not recognized by the prior art. However, mere recitation of newly-discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in prior art, does not cause claim drawn to those things to distinguish over prior art; Patent Office can require applicant to prove that subject matter shown to be in prior art does not possess

characteristic relied on where it has reason to believe that functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in claimed subject matter may be inherent characteristic of prior art; this burden of proof is applicable to product and process claims reasonably considered as possessing allegedly inherent characteristics. Patent and Trademark Office does not have facilities for examining and comparing applicant's claimed composition with the prior art, and thus applicants have the burden of persuasion to make some comparison between materials in order to establish unexpected properties.

13. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunne in view of Matos.

Applicant's arguments filed June 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Matos that deals with the effect of counter ions on the stability of SAM-e in solution and its relative stability in terms of rate of epimerization in solution as opposed to the powder form. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered; however, the instantly claimed composition is not limited to a powder form.

Conclusion

14. Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 1-6 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Patrick T. Lewis, PhD
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1623

ptl